

To: Hawkins, Andy[hawkins.andy@epa.gov]
Cc: Wilbur, Emily[emily.wilbur@dnr.mo.gov]
From: Vit, Wendy
Sent: Tue 6/2/2015 1:23:19 PM
Subject: RE: questions
[2015-05-07 JeffCo SIP Comment Ltr .pdf](#)
[Ameren SO2 Comments-Final_05072015.pdf](#)
[Transcript_043015_Condensed.pdf](#)

Hi Andy. Emily's out of the office today, but I can take care of the transcript and comments. See attachments. AECOM's comments are included as an attachment to Ameren's comment letter.

By the way, we always just include the intro to the public hearing transcript in the packages we submit to you. I'm not exactly sure of the history or rationale of it, but I think it's just proof that we held a public hearing. When Emily's back, she can follow up with you about your question about Rush Island release heights.

Let us know if you need anything else. Thanks.

Wendy Vit
Air Quality Planning Section Chief
Air Pollution Control Program
Missouri Department of Natural Resources
(573) 526-3167
wendy.vit@dnr.mo.gov

Promoting, Protecting and Enjoying our Natural Resources. Learn more at dnr.mo.gov.

From: Hawkins, Andy [mailto:hawkins.andy@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 7:30 AM
To: Wilbur, Emily
Cc: Vit, Wendy
Subject: questions

Emily,

Section 9 of your Jefferson County SO2 SIP states “The remaining public participation documents, including but not limited to the transcript from the public hearing and the response to comments, are also included in Appendix I as part of this SIP submittal package sent to EPA.” Appendix I (public participation, <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/apcp/docs/I-public-participation-docs.pdf>) seems to cut off the public hearing transcript for the SO2 SIP. Could you please send me the entire transcript? I also don’t see the actual comments made to MDNR, just the summary. Can you please share the Sierra club (Wash U.) and Ameren’s and their consultants direct comments with me? Are all the actual comments you received somewhere else on your website, per 40 CFR 51 Appendix V 2.1(h)?

Do you know why the release heights are different in the Rush Island monitor location modeling versus the SIP modeling for this source? Which height is correct?

Thanks,

Andy

Andy Hawkins

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7179 office

hawkins.andy@epa.gov